



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,046	08/03/2001		Nai-Shung Chang	JCLA6385	7558
7590 12/07/2004			EXAMINER		
J.C. Patents				VO, TIM T	
4 Venture, Suite 250				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Irvine, CA 92618			2112		
				DATE MAII ED: 12/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/922,046	CHANG, NAI-SHUNG					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tim T. Vo	2112					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a ginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the contract which the contract which are the contract	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee 1 fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1 the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the					
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: amended claims raise new issue that wo	uld require further consideration an	d/or search.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been consecution Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:		Tim T. Vo Primary Examiner					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Horan teaches a bridge is located within the core logic 104 for connecting AGP buses 302, 304 as shown in figure 4A.